#### • [Return to BCAlgTori](https://www.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~yamasaki/Algorithm/BCAlgTori/index.html)

# **[FlabbyResolutionBC.gap](https://www.math.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~yamasaki/Algorithm/BCAlgTori/FlabbyResolutionBC.gap)**

# Definition of  $M_G$

Let  $G$  be a finite subgroup of  $\operatorname{GL}(n,{\mathbb Z}).$  The  $G$ -lattice  $M_G$  of rank  $n$  is defined to be the  $G$ -lattice with a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis  $\{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$  on which  $G$  acts by

$$
\sigma(u_i)=\sum_{j=1}^n a_{i,j}u_j\qquad \qquad (1)
$$

for any  $\sigma = [a_{i,j}] \in G.$ 

# **Hminus1**

‣ Hminus1(*G*)

returns the Tate cohomology group  ${\widehat{H}}^{-1}(G, M_{G})$  for a finite subgroup  $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}).$ 

### **H0**

#### $·$  H $\Theta(G)$

returns the Tate cohomology group  ${\widehat{H}}^0(G,M_G)$  for a finite subgroup  $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}).$ 

### **H1**

```
‣ H1(G)
```
returns the cohomology group  $H^1(G,M_G)$  for a finite subgroup  $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}).$ 

# **Sha1Omega**

‣ Sha1Omega(*G*)

returns  $Sha_w^1(G,M_G).$ 

# **Sha1OmegaTr**

‣ Sha1OmegaTr(*G*)

returns  $Sha_w^1(G,(M_G)^\circ).$ 

# **ShaOmega**

‣ ShaOmega(*G*,*n*)

returns  $\mathit{Sha}^n_w(G, M_G)$  for  $G$ -lattice  $M_G$ . This function needs HAP package in GAP.

# **ShaOmegaFromGroup**

‣ ShaOmegaFromGroup(*M*,*n*,*G*)

returns  $Sha_w^n(G,M)$  for  $G$ -lattice  $M$ . This function needs HAP package in GAP.

# **TorusInvariants**

‣ TorusInvariants(*G*)

returns  $TI_G=[l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4]$  where

$$
l_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \ \ [M_G]^{fl} = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if} \ \ [M_G]^{fl} \neq 0 \ \text{but is invertible,} \\ 2 & \text{if} \ \ [M_G]^{fl} \ \text{is not invertible,} \end{cases}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{l} l_2 = H^1(G, [M_G]^{fl}) \simeq \mathit{Sha}^1_w(G, [M_G]^{fl}), \\ l_3 = \mathit{Sha}^1_w(G, (M_G)^\circ) \simeq \mathit{Sha}^2_w(G, ([M_G]^{fl})^\circ), \\ l_4 = H^1(G, ([M_G]^{fl})^{fl}) \simeq \mathit{Sha}^2_w(G, [M_G]^{fl}) \text{ via the command H1(G).} \end{array}
$$

# **TorusInvariantsHAP**

‣ TorusInvariantsHap(*G*)

returns  $TI_G=[l_1,l_2,l_3,l_4]$  where

$$
l_1 = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if} \ \ [M_G]^{fl} = 0, \\ 1 & \text{if} \ \ [M_G]^{fl} \neq 0 \ \text{but is invertible}, \\ 2 & \text{if} \ \ [M_G]^{fl} \ \text{is not invertible}, \end{cases}
$$

$$
l_2 = H^1(G, [M_G]^{fl}) \simeq Sha_w^1(G, [M_G]^{fl}),
$$
  
\n
$$
l_3 = Sha_w^1(G, (M_G)^\circ) \simeq Sha_w^2(G, ([M_G]^{fl})^\circ),
$$
  
\n
$$
l_4 = Sha_w^2(G, [M_G]^{fl})
$$
 via the command ShaOmegaFromGroup(  
\n
$$
[M_G]^{fl}, 2, G).
$$
  
\nThis function needs HAP package in GAP.

This function needs HAP package in GAP.

# **ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2TorusInvariants**

returns the records ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2 and TorusInvariants where ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2 is the list  $[g_1, \ldots, g_m]$  of conjugacy classes of subgroups of  $G\leq \mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  with the fixed ordering via the function ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2(*G*) ( [\[HY17,](#page-12-0) Section 4.1]) and TorusInvariants is the list [TorusInvariants $(g_1), \ldots,$ TorusInvariants $(g_m)$ ] via the function TorusInvariants(*G*).

# **PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentSubdirectProducts**

```
‣ PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentSubdirectProducts(G,G',
ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2TorusInvariants(G),
ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2TorusInvariants(G'))
```
returns the list  $l$  of the subdirect products  $\widetilde{H}\leq G\times G'$  of  $G$  and  $G'$  up to  $(\mathrm{GL}(n_1,\mathbb{Z})\times\mathrm{GL}(n_2,\mathbb{Z}))$ -conjugacy which satisfy  $TI_{\varphi_1(H)}=TI_{\varphi_2(H)}$  for any  $H \leq \widetilde{H}$  where  $\widetilde{H} \leq G \times G'$  is a subdirect product of  $G$  and  $G'$  which acts on  $M_G$  and  $M_{G'}$  through the surjections  $\varphi_1 : \bar{H} \to G$  and  $\varphi_2 : \widetilde{H} \rightarrow G'$  respectively (indeed, this function computes it for  $H$  up to conjugacy for the sake of saving time). In particular, if the length of the list  $l$  is zero, then we find that  $[M_G]^{fl}$  and  $[M_{G^\prime}]^{fl}$  are not weak stably  $k$ -equivalent.

# **FlabbyResolutionLowRank**

‣ FlabbyResolutionLowRank(*G*).actionF

returns the matrix representation of the action of  $G$  on  $F$  where  $F$  is a suitable flabby class of  $M_G\left( F\right) = [M_G]^{fl}$ ) with low rank by using backtracking techniques (see [\[HY17,](#page-12-0) Chapter 5], see also [\[HHY](#page-12-1) Algorithm 4.1 (3)]).

Each isomorphism class of irreducible permutation  $H$  -lattices corresponds to a conjugacy class of subgroup  $H$  of  $\overline{H}$  by  $H \leftrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\overline{H}\,/\overline{H}].$  Let  $H_1 = \{1\}, \ldots, H_r = H$  be all conjugacy classes of subgroups of  $H$  whose ordering corresponds to the GAP function ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2( $\bar{H}$  ) (see  $[HY17, Section 4.1, page 42]$  $[HY17, Section 4.1, page 42]$ ).

We suppose that  $[F] = [F^\prime]$  as  $\widetilde{H}$  -lattices. Then we have

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus x_i}\right) \oplus F^{\oplus b_1} \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus y_i}\right) \oplus F'^{\oplus b_1} \quad (2)
$$

where  $b_1 = 1$ . We write the equation  $\left( 2 \right)$  as

$$
\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i} \simeq (F-F')^{\oplus (-b_1)} \qquad \qquad (3)
$$

formally where  $a_i = x_i - y_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then we may consider "  $F-F$   $'$  " formally in the sene of  $(2)$ . By computing some  $\operatorname{GL}(n,{\mathbb Z})$ -conjugacy class invariants, we will give a necessary condition for  $[F] = [F^{\prime}].$ 

Let  $\{c_1, \ldots, c_r\}$  be a set of complete representatives of the conjugacy classes of  $H$  . Let  $A_i(c_j)$  be the matrix representation of the factor coset action of  $c_j \in H$  on  $\mathbb{Z}[H]/H_i]$  and  $B(c_j)$  be the matrix representation of the action of  $c_j \in \widetilde{H}$  on  $F-F'.$ 

By  $(3)$ , for each  $c_j \in H$  , we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{r} a_i \operatorname{tr} A_i(c_j) + b_1 \operatorname{tr} B(c_j) = 0 \tag{4}
$$

where  $\operatorname{tr} A$  is the trace of the matrix  $A$ . Similarly, we consider the rank of  $H^0 = {\widehat Z}^0$ . For each  $H_j$ , we get

$$
\sum_{i=1}^r a_i \operatorname{rank} \widehat{Z}^0(H_j,\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i])+b_1 \operatorname{rank} \widehat{Z}^0(H_j,F-F')=0. \quad (5)
$$

Finally, we compute  ${\widehat{H}}^0$ . Let  $Sy_p(A)$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of an abelian group  $A.$   $Sy_p(A)$  can be written as a direct product of cyclic groups uniquely. Let  $n_{p,e}(Sy_p(A))$  be the number of direct summands of cyclic groups of order  $p^e$ . For each  $H_j, p, e$ , we get

$$
\sum_{i=1}^r a_i\, n_{p,e}(Sy_p(\widehat{H}^0(H_j,\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}\,/\,H_i]))) + b_1\, n_{p,e}(Sy_p(\widehat{H}^0(H_j,F-F')))=0
$$

By the equalities  $\left( 4\right)$ ,  $\left( 5\right)$  and  $\left( 6\right)$ , we may get a system of linear equations in  $a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1$  over  $\Z$ . Namely, we have that  $[F] = [F']$  as  $\widetilde{H}$  -lattices  $\Longrightarrow$ there exist  $a_1, \ldots, a_r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $b_1 = \pm 1$  which satisfy  $(3) \Longrightarrow$  this system of linear equations has an integer solution in  $a_1, \ldots, a_r$  with  $b_1 = \pm 1.$ 

In particular, if this system of linear equations has no integer solutions, then we conclude that  $[F]\neq [F']$  as  $\widetilde{H}$  -lattices.

# **PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentFSubdirectProduct**

```
‣ PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentFSubdirectProduct(H~)
```
returns a basis  $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, \ldots, l_s\}$  of the solution space  $\{[a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1] \mid a_i, b_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  of the system of linear equations which is obtained by the equalities  $(4),\, (5)$  and  $(6)$  and gives all possibilities that establish the equation  $(3)$  for a subdirect product  $\widetilde{H}\leq G\times G'$  of  $G$  and  $G'.$ 

# **PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentMSubdirectProduct**

```
‣ PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentMSubdirectProduct(H~)
```
returns the same as PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentFSubdirectProduct(*H~*) but with respect to  $M_G$  and  $M_{G'}$  instead of  $\overline{F}$  and  $F'.$ 

### **PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentFSubdirectProduct with "H2" option**

```
‣ PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentFSubdirectProduct(H~:H2)
```
returns the same as PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentFSubdirectProduct(*H~*) but using also the additional equality

$$
\sum_{i=1}^r a_i\,n_{p,e}(Sy_p(H^2(\widetilde{H},\mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}\,/\,H_i])))+b_1\,n_{p,e}(Sy_p(H^2(\widetilde{H}\,,F-F')))=0
$$

and the equalities  $(4)$ ,  $(5)$  and  $(6)$ .

### **PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentMSubdirectProduct with "H2" option**

‣ PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentMSubdirectProduct(*H~*:H2)

returns the same as PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentFSubdirectProduct(*H~*:H2) but with respect to  $M_G$  and  $\dot{M}_{G'}$  instead of  $F$  and  $F'.$ 

In general, we will provide a method in order to confirm the isomorphism

$$
\left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i}\right) \oplus F^{\oplus b_1} \simeq \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a'_i}\right) \oplus F'^{\oplus b'_1} \qquad (8)
$$

with  $a_i, a'_i \geq 0$ ,  $b_1, b'_1 \geq 1$ , although it is needed by trial and error.

Let  $G_1$  (resp.  $G_2$ ) be the matrix representation group of the action of  $\overline{H}$  on the left-hand side  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i}) \oplus F^{\oplus b_1}$  (resp. the right-hand side  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i'}) \oplus F^{\oplus b_1'})$  of the isomorphism  $(8)$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}=\{P_1,\ldots,P_m\}$ be a basis of the solution space of  $G_1P = PG_2$  where  $m = \mathrm{rank}_\mathbb{Z}$  $\operatorname{Hom}(G_1,G_2)=\operatorname{rank}_\Z \operatorname{Hom}_{\widetilde{H}}(M_{G_1},M_{G_2}).$  Our aim is to find the matrix  $P$ which satisfies  $G_1P = PG_2$  by using computer effectively. If we can get a matrix  $P$  with det  $P=\pm 1$ , then  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are  $\mathrm{GL}(n,{\mathbb Z})$ -conjugate where  $n$ is the rank of both sides of  $(8)$  and hence the isomorphism  $(8)$  established. This implies that the flabby class  $[F^{\oplus b_1}] = [F'^{\oplus b'_1}]$  as  $\widetilde{H}$  -lattices.

# **StablyEquivalentFCheckPSubdirectProduct**

‣ StablyEquivalentFCheckPSubdirectProduct(*H~*,*l1*,*l2*)

returns a basis  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$  of the solution space of  $G_1P = PG_2$ where  $m = \mathrm{rank}_\mathbb{Z}\, \mathrm{Hom}(G_1,G_2)$  and  $G_1$  (resp.  $G_2$ ) is the matrix representation group of the action of  $\widetilde{H}$  on  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i})\oplus F^{\oplus b_1}$ (resp.  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i'}) \oplus F'^{\oplus b_1'})$  with the isomorphism  $(8)$  for a subdirect product  $\widetilde{H}\leq G\times G'$  of  $G$  and  $G'$ , and lists  $l_1=[a_1,\ldots,a_r,b_1],$  $l_2=[a'_1,\ldots,a'_r,b'_1]$ , if  $P$  exists. If such  $P$  does not exist, this returns [ ].

# **StablyEquivalentMCheckPSubdirectProduct**

‣ StablyEquivalentMCheckPSubdirectProduct(*H~*,*l1*,*l2*)

returns the same as StablyEquivalentFCheckPSubdirectProduct(*H~*,*l1*,*l2*) but with respect to  $M_G$  and  $\dot{M}_{G'}$  instead of  $F$  and  $F'.$ 

# **StablyEquivalentFCheckMatSubdirectProduct**

‣ StablyEquivalentFCheckMatSubdirectProduct(*H~*,*l1*,*l2*,*P*)

returns true if  $G_1P = PG_2$  and det  $P = \pm 1$  where  $G_1$  (resp.  $G_2$ ) is the matrix representation group of the action of  $\bar{H}$  on  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i}) \oplus F^{\oplus b_1}$  (resp.  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i'}) \oplus F'^{\oplus b_1'}$ ) with the isomorphism  $(8)$  for a subdirect product  $\widetilde{H}\leq G\times G'$  of  $G$  and  $G'$ , and lists  $l_1=[a_1,\ldots,a_r,b_1]$ ,  $l_2=[a'_1,\ldots,a'_r,b'_1]$ . If not, this returns false.

# **StablyEquivalentMCheckMatSubdirectProduct**

‣ StablyEquivalentMCheckMatSubdirectProduct(*H~*,*l1*,*l2*,*P*)

returns the same as StablyEquivalentFCheckMatSubdirectProduct(*H~*,*l1*,*l2*,*P*) but with respect to  $M_G$  and  $\dot{M}_{G'}$  instead of  $F$  and  $F'.$ 

# **StablyEquivalentFCheckGenSubdirectProduct**

returns the list  $[\mathcal{M}_1,\mathcal{M}_2]$  where  $\mathcal{M}_1 = [g_1,\ldots,g_t]$  (resp.  $\mathcal{M}_2 = [g'_1, \ldots, g'_t])$  is a list of the generators of  $G_1$  (resp.  $G_2$ ) which is the matrix representation group of the action of  $\bar{H}$  on  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i}) \oplus F^{\oplus b_1}$  (resp.  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i'}) \oplus F'^{\oplus b_1'}$ ) with the isomorphism  $(8)$  for a subdirect product  $\widetilde{H}\leq G\times G'$  of  $G$  and  $G'$ , and lists  $l_1 = [a_1, \ldots, a_r, b_1], l_2 = [a'_1, \ldots, a'_r, b'_1].$ 

# **StablyEquivalentMCheckGenSubdirectProduct**

‣ StablyEquivalentMCheckGenSubdirectProduct(*H~*,*l1*,*l2*)

returns the same as StablyEquivalentMCheckGenSubdirectProduct(*H~*,*l1*,*l2*) but with respect to  $M_G$  and  $M_{G^{\prime}}$  instead of  $F$  and  $F^{\prime}.$ 

By applying the function StablyEquivalentFCheckPSubdirectProduct, we get a basis  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$  of the solution space of  $G_1P = PG_2$  with det  $P_i = \pm 1$  for some  $1 \leq i \leq m$  where  $G_1$  (resp.  $G_2$ ) is the matrix representation group of the action of  $\bar{H}$  on the left-hand side  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i}) \oplus F^{\oplus b_1}$  (resp. the right-hand side  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i'}) \oplus F'^{\oplus b_1'})$  of the isomorphism  $(8)$  and  $m = \mathrm{rank}_\mathbb{Z}$  $\operatorname{Hom}(G_1,G_2).$ 

However, in general, we have that det  $P_i \neq \pm 1$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . In the general case, we should seek a matrix  $P$  with det  $P=\pm 1$  which is given as a linear combination  $P=\sum_{i=1}^m c_iP_i.$  This task is important for us and not easy in general even if we use a computer.  $P$  with det  $P=\pm 1$  $P = \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i P_i$ 

We made the following GAP algorithms which may find a matrix  $P = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i P_i$  with  $G_1 P = P G_2$  and det  $P = \pm 1$ .

We will explain the algorithms below when the input P is obtained by  $\mathsf{Stably}$ EquivalentFCheckPSubdirectProduct $(\bar{H} \, , l_1, l_2)$  *although it works in more general situations.*

# **SearchPRowBlocks**

‣ SearchPRowBlocks(*P*)

returns the records bpBlocks and rowBlocks where bpBlocks (resp. rowBlocks) is the decomposition of the list  $l = [1, \ldots, m]$  (resp.  $l = [1, \ldots, n]$ ) with  $m = \mathrm{rank}_\mathbb{Z} \, \mathrm{Hom}(G_1, G_2)$  (resp.  $n = \mathrm{size}\ G_1$ ) according to the direct sum decomposition of  $M_{G_1}$  for a basis  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$  of the solution space of  $G_1P = PG_2$  where  $G_1$  (resp.  $G_2$ ) is the matrix representation group of the action of  $\widetilde{H}$  on the left-hand side  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i})\oplus F^{\oplus b_1}$  (resp. the righthand side  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i'}) \oplus F'^{\oplus b_1'})$  of the isomorphism  $(8).$ 

 $W$ e write  $B[t] = \text{SearchPROwBlocks}(\mathcal P)$ .bpBlocks[ $t$ ] and  $R[t] = \text{archPRowBlocks}(\mathcal P)$ .rowBlocks[ $t$ ]. SearchPRowBlocks( $P$ ).rowBlocks[ $t$ ].

### **SearchPFilterRowBlocks**

‣ SearchPFilterRowBlocks(*P*,*B*[*t*],*R*[*t*],*j*)

returns the lists  $\{M_s\}$  where  $M_s$  is the  $n_t \times n$  matrix with all invariant factors  $1$  which is of the form  $M_s = \sum_{i ~\in~ B[t]} c_i P'_i~(c_i \in \{0,1\})$  at most  $j$  non-zero  $c_i$ 's and  $P'_i$  is the submatrix of  $P_i$  consists of  $R[t]$  rows with  $n_t = \mathrm{length}(R[t])$ ) for a basis  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$  of the solution space of  $G_1P = PG_2$  where  $G_1$  (resp.  $G_2$ ) is the matrix representation group of the action of  $\bar{H}$  on the lefthand side  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i}) \oplus F^{\oplus b_1}$  (resp. the right-hand side  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i'}) \oplus F'{}^{\oplus b_1'})$  of the isomorphism  $(8)$ ,  $B[t]=0$  $\mathsf{SearchPROwBlocks}(\mathcal{P}).\mathsf{bpBlocks}[t],$   $R[t] = \mathsf{SearchPROwBlocks}(\mathcal{P}).\mathsf{rowBlocks}[t]$  $t$ ]) and  $j\geq 1$ .

‣ SearchPFilterRowBlocks(*P*,*B*[*t*],*R*[*t*],*j*,*C*)

returns the same as SearchPFilterRowBlocks(*P*,*B*[*t*],*R*[*t*],*j*) but with respect to  $c_i \in C$  instead of  $c_i \in \{0,1\}$  for the list  $C$  of integers.

### **SearchPFilterRowBlocksRandomMT**

```
‣ SearchPFilterRowBlocksRandomMT(P,B[t],R[t],u)
```
returns the same as SearchPFilterRowBlocks(*P*,*B*[*t*],*R*[*t*],*j*) but with respect to random  $u$   $c_i$ 's via Mersenne Twister instead of at most  $j$  non-zero  $c_i$ 's for integer  $u\geq 1$ .

‣ SearchPFilterRowBlocksRandomMT(*P*,*B*[*t*],*R*[*t*],*u*,*C*)

returns the same as SearchPFilterRowBlocksRandomMT(*P*,*B*[*t*],*R*[*t*],*u*) but with respect to  $c_i \in C$  instead of  $c_i \in \{0,1\}$  for the list  $C$  of integers.

### **SearchPMergeRowBlock**

‣ SearchPMergeRowBlock(*m1*,*m2*)

returns all concatenations of the matrices  $M_s$  and  $M_t$  vertically with all invariant factors  $1$  (resp. a concatenation of the matrices  $M_s$  and  $M_t$  vertically with determinant  $\pm 1$ ) for  $m_1 = \{M_s\}$  and  $m_2 = \{M_t\}$  where  $M_s$  are  $n_1 \times n$  matrices and  $M_t$  are  $n_2 \times n$  matrices with  $n_1 + n_2$  <  $n$  (resp.  $n_1 + n_2 = n$ ).

When there exists  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $R[t] = \{j\}$ , we can use:

### **SearchPLinear**

#### ‣ SearchPLinear(*M*,*P1*)

returns the list  $\{\det(M+P_i)\}_{i\:\in\: B[t]}$  of integers for an  $n\times n$  matrix  $M$  which is obtained by inserting the zero row into the  $j$ -th row of  $(n-1)\times n$  matrix  $M_s = \sum_{i \, \not\in \, B[t]} c_i P'_i$  with all invariant factors  $1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{P_i\}_{i \, \in \, B[t]}$  where  $B[t] = \text{SearchPROwBlocks}(\mathcal{P}).\text{bpBlocks}[t]$ ,  $P'_i$  is the submatrix of  $P_i$  deleting the  $j$ -th row, and  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$  is obtained by  $\mathsf{StablyE}$ quivalentFCheckPSubdirectProduct $(\bar{H}$  , $l_1, l_2)$  under the assumption that there exists  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $R[t] = \{j\}.$ 

When there exist  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $R[t_1] = \{ j_1 \},$   $R[t_2] = \{ j_2 \},$  we can use:

### **SearchPBilinear**

#### ‣ SearchPBilinear(*M*,*P1*,*P2*)

returns the matrix  $[\det(M+P_{i_1}+P_{i_2})]_{i_1\,in B[t_1], i_2\,in B[t_2]}$  for an  $n\times n$  matrix  $M$  which is obtained by inserting the two zero rows into the  $j_1$ -th row and the  $j_2$ -th row of  $(n-2)\times n$  matrix  $M_s = \sum_{i\not\in B[t_1]\,\cup\, B[t_2]} c_i P_i^I$  with all invariant factors  $1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{P_{i_1}\}_{i_1 \in B[t_1]},$   $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{P_{i_2}\}_{i_2 \in B[t_2]}$ , where  $B[t_1]$   $=$  $\mathsf{SearchPROwBlocks}(\mathcal{P}).$ bpBlocks $[t_1]$ ,  $B[t_2] = \mathsf{SearchPROwBlocks}(\mathcal{P})$ ).bpBlocks[ $t_2$ ],  $P'_i$  is the submatrix of  $P_i$  deleting the  $j_1$ -th and the  $j_2$ -th rows, and  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$  is obtained by  $\mathsf{StablyE}$ quivalentFCheckPSubdirectProduct $(\overline H\,$ , $l_1, l_2)$  under the assumption that there exist  $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $R[t_1] = \{ j_1 \}$  and  $R[t_2] = \{ j_2 \}.$ 

When there exists  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $R[t] = \{j_1, j_2\}$ , we can use:

### **SearchPQuadratic**

‣ SearchPQuadratic(*M*,*P1*)

returns the matrix

 $[\,\frac{1}{2}(\det(M+P_{i_1}+P_{i_2})-\det(M+P_{i_1})-\det(M+P_{i_2}))]_{i_1,i_2\,\in\,B[t]}$  for an  $n \times n$  matrix  $M$  which is obtained by inserting the two zero rows into the  $j_1$ -th row and the  $j_2$ -th row of  $(n-2)\times n$  matrix  $M_s = \sum_{i\notin B[t]} c_iP'_i$  with all invariant factors  $1$  and  $\mathcal{P}_1 = \{P_i\}_{i \in B[t]},$  where  $B[t] =$  SearchPRowBlocks( $\mathcal P$ ).bpBlocks[ $t$ ],  $P_i'$  is the submatrix of  $P_i$  deleting the  $j_1$ -th and  $j_2$ -th rows and  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$  is obtained by  $\mathsf{StablyE}$ quivalentF $\mathsf{CheckPSubdirectProduct}(\widetilde{H},l_1,l_2)$  under the assumption that there exists  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $R[t] = \{j_1, j_2\}.$ 2

When  $R[1] = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ , we can use:

# **SearchP1**

```
‣ SearchP1(P)
```
returns a matrix  $P = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i P_i$  with  $c_i \in \{0,1\}$ ,  $G_1 P = P G_2$  and det  $P=\pm 1$  where  $G_1$  (resp.  $G_2$ ) is the matrix representation group of the action of  $\widetilde{H}$  on the left-hand side  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i})\oplus F^{\oplus b_1}$  (resp. the right-hand side  $(\oplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}[\widetilde{H}/H_i]^{\oplus a_i'}) \oplus F'^{\oplus b_1'})$  of the isomorphism  $(8)$  for  $\mathcal{P} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_m\}$  which is obtained by  $\mathsf{StablyE}$ quivalentF $\mathsf{CheckPSubdirectProduct}(\widetilde{H}_, l_1, l_2)$  under the assumption that  $R[1] = \{1, \ldots, m\}$ .

‣ SearchP1(*P*,*C*)

returns the same as SearchP1(*P*) but with respect to  $c_i \in C$  instead of  $c_i \in \{0,1\}$  for the list  $C$  of integers.

# **Endomorphismring**

```
‣ Endomorphismring(G)
```
returns a  $\mathbb Z$ -basis of  $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb Z[G]}(M_G)$  for a finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb Z).$ 

# **IsCodimJacobsonEnd1**

‣ IsCodimJacobsonEnd1(*G*,*p*)

returns true (resp. false) if  $\dim_{{\mathbb Z}/p{\mathbb Z}}(E/pE)\big/ J(E/pE) = 1$  (resp.  $\neq 1$ ) where  $E=\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M_G)$  for a finite subgroup  $G$  of  $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  and prime number  $p.$  If this returns true, then  $M_G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$  is an indecomposable  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ lattice. In particular,  $M_G$  is an indecomposable  $G$ -lattice (see [<u>HY,</u> Lemma 6.11]).

# **IdempotentsModp**

‣ IdempotentsModp(*B*,*p*)

returns all idempotents of  $R/pR$  for a  $\mathbb Z$ -basis  $B$  of a subring  $R$  of  $n\times n$ matrices  $M(n,\mathbb{Z})$  over  $\mathbb Z$  and prime number  $p.$  If this returns only the zero and the identity matrices when  $R = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(M_G)$ , then  $M_G \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$  is an indecomposable  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -lattice. In particular,  $M_G$  is an indecomposable  $G$ -lattice (see [[HY,](#page-12-2) Lemma 6.10]).

# **ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2WSEC**

‣ ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2WSEC(*G*)

returns the records ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2 and WSEC where ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2 is the list  $[g_1, \ldots, g_m]$  of conjugacy classes of subgroups of  $G\leq \mathrm{GL}(n,{\mathbb Z})$   $(n=3,4)$  with the fixed ordering via the function ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2( $G$ ) (see <u>[\[HY17,](#page-12-0)</u> Section 4.1]) and WSEC is the list  $[w_1, \ldots, w_m]$  where  $g_i$  is in the  $w_i$ -th weak stably  $k$ equivalent class  $\mathrm{WSEC}_{w_i}$  in dimension  $n.$ 

# **MaximalInvariantNormalSubgroup**

```
‣ MaximalInvariantNormalSubgroup(G,ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2WSEC(G))
```
returns the maximal normal subgroup  $N$  of  $G$  which satisfies that  $\pi(H_1) = \pi(H_2)$  implies  $\psi(H_1) = \psi(H_2)$  for any  $H_1, H_2 \leq G$  where  $\pi: G \rightarrow G/N$  is the natural homomorphism,  $\psi: H_i \mapsto w_i$ , and  $H_i$  is in the  $w_i$ -th weak stably  $k$ -equivalent class  $\mathrm{WSEC}_{w_i}$  in dimension  $n.$ 

# **PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentSubdirectProducts with "WSEC" option**

```
‣ PossibilityOfStablyEquivalentSubdirectProducts(G,G',
ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2WSEC(G),
ConjugacyClassesSubgroups2WSEC(G'),["WSEC"])
```
returns the list  $l$  of the subdirect products  $H\,\leq G\times G'$  of  $G$  and  $G'$  up to -conjugacy which satisfy  $w_1=w_2$  for any  $H \leq \overline{H}$  where  $\varphi_i(H)$  is in the  $w_i$ -th weak stably  $k$ -equivalent class  $\text{WSEC}_{w_i}$ in dimension  $n$   $(n=3,4)$  and  $\widetilde{H}\leq G\times G'$  is a subdirect product of  $G$  and  $G'$  which acts on  $M_G$  and  $M_{G'}$  through the surjections  $\varphi_1 : \widetilde{H} \to G$  and  $\varphi_2 : \widetilde{H} \rightarrow G'$  respectively (indeed, this function computes it for  $H$  up to conjugacy for the sake of saving time).  $l$  of the subdirect products  $\widetilde{H}\leq G\times G'$  of  $G$  and  $G'$  $(\mathrm{GL}(n_1,\mathbb{Z})\times\mathrm{GL}(n_2,\mathbb{Z}))$ -conjugacy which satisfy  $w_1=w_2$ 

# **IsomorphismFromSubdirectProduct**

‣ IsomorphismFromSubdirectProduct(*H~*)

 $\sigma: G/N \to G'/N'$  which satisfies  $\sigma(\varphi_1(h)N)=\varphi_2(h)N'$  for any  $h\in\widetilde{H}$  where  $N=\varphi_1(\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi_2))$  and  $N' = \varphi_2 ({\rm Ker}(\varphi_1))$  for a subdirect product  $\widetilde{H} \leq G \times G'$  of  $G$  and  $G'$  with surjections  $\varphi_1 : \widetilde{H} \to G$  and  $\varphi_2 : \widetilde{H} \to G'$ .

# **AutGSubdirectProductsWSECInvariant**

‣ AutGSubdirectProductsWSECInvariant(*G)*

returns subdirect products  ${\widetilde{H}}_{m}=\{(g,g^{\sigma_{m}})\mid g\in G,g^{\sigma_{m}}\in G^{\sigma_{m}}\}$ 

 $(1 \leq m \leq s)$  of  $G$  and  $G^{\sigma_m}$  where  $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_s\}$  is a complete set of  $\epsilon$ representatives of the double coset  $X\backslash Z/X,$ 

$$
\operatorname{Inn}(G)\leq X\leq Y\leq Z\leq \operatorname{Aut}(G),
$$

 $X = \text{Aut}_{\text{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})}(G) = \{ \sigma \in \text{Aut}(G) \mid G \text{ and } G^{\sigma} \text{ are conjugate in} \text{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}) \}$  $Y = \{\sigma \in {\rm Aut}(G)\mid [M_G]^{fl} = [M_{G^\sigma}]^{fl} \text{ as } \widetilde{H}\text{-lattices where } \widetilde{H} = \{(g, g^\sigma) \mid g \in H\}$  $Z = \{\sigma \in {\rm Aut}(G) \mid [M_H]^{fl} \sim [M_{H^{\sigma}}]^{fl} \text{ for any } H \leq G\},$ 

 ${\rm Inn}(G)$  is the group of inner automorphisms on  $G$ ,  ${\rm Aut}(G)$  is the group of automorphisms on  $G$ ,  $N_{\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})}(G)$  is the normalizer of  $G$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})$  and  $Z_{\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})}(G)$  is the centralizer of  $G$  in  $\mathrm{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}).$ 

### **AutGSubdirectProductsWSECInvariantGen**

‣ AutGSubdirectProductsWSECInvariantGen(*G*)

returns the same as AutGSubdirectProductsWSECInvariant( $G$ ) but with  $\{\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t\}$  where  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t \in Z$  are some minimal number of  $\mathsf{generators}$  of the double cosets of  $X\backslash Z/X$ , i.e. minimal number of elements  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t \in Z$  which satisfy  $\langle \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_t, x \mid x \in X \rangle = Z$ , instead of a  $\mathop{\mathsf{complete}}$  set of representatives of the double coset  $X\backslash Z/X$ . If this returns [], then we get  $X=Y=Z.$ 

# **AutGLnZ**

‣ AutGLnZ(*G*)

returns

 $X = \text{Aut}_{\text{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z})}(G) = \{ \sigma \in \text{Aut}(G) \mid G \text{ and } G^{\sigma} \text{ are conjugate in } \text{GL}(n,\mathbb{Z}) \}$ 

### **N3WSECMembersTable**

‣ N3WSECMembersTable[*r*][*i*]

returns an integer  $j$  which satisfies that  $N_{3,j}$  is the  $i$ -th group in the weak stably  $k$ -equivalent class  ${\rm WSEC}_r$ .

### **N4WSECMembersTable**

```
‣ N4WSECMembersTable[r][i]
```
is the same as N3WSECMembersTable[*r*][*i*] but using  $N_{4,j}$  instead of  $N_{3,j}.$ 

### **I4WSECMembersTable**

```
‣ I4WSECMembersTable[r][i]
```
is the same as N3WSECMembersTable[*r*][*i*] but using  $I_{4,j}$  instead of  $N_{3,j}.$ 

# **AutGWSECINvariantSmallDegreeTest**

‣ AutGWSECINvariantSmallDegreeTest(*G*)

returns the list  $l = [l_1, \ldots, l_s]~(l_1 \leq \cdots \leq l_s)$  of integers with the minimal  $l_s, \ldots, l_1$  which satisfies  $Z = Z'$  where

$$
\begin{aligned} Z &= \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G) \mid [M_H]^{fl} \sim [M_{H^\sigma}]^{fl} \text{ for any } H \leq G\}, \\ Z' &= \{\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G) \mid [M_H]^{fl} \sim [M_{H^\sigma}]^{fl} \text{ for any } H \leq G \text{ with } [G:H] \in l\} \\ \text{for } G &\leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}) \text{ (}n=3, 4\text{).} \end{aligned}
$$

# **References**

<span id="page-12-1"></span>[HHY20] Sumito Hasegawa, Akinari Hoshi and Aiichi Yamasaki, Rationality problem for norm one tori in small dimensions, Math. Comp. **89** (2020) 923-940. [AMS](https://doi.org/10.1090/mcom/3469) Extended version: [arXiv:1811.02145.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1811.02145)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>[HY17] Akinari Hoshi and Aiichi Yamasaki, Rationality problem for algebraic tori, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **248** (2017) no. 1176, v+215 pp. [AMS](https://doi.org/10.1090/memo/1176) Preprint version: [arXiv:1210.4525.](https://arxiv.org/abs/1210.4525)

<span id="page-12-2"></span>[HY] Akinari Hoshi and Aiichi Yamasaki, Birational classification for algebraic tori, [arXiv:2112.02280.](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.02280)

Copyright © 2021 Akinari Hoshi, Aiichi Yamasaki