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## §1. Rationality problem for algebraic tori $T(1 / 3)$

- $k$ : a base field which is NOT algebraically closed! (TODAY)
- $T$ : algebraic $k$-torus, i.e. $k$-form of a split torus; an algebraic group over $k$ (group $k$-scheme) with $T \times_{k} \bar{k} \simeq\left(\mathbb{G}_{m, \bar{k}}\right)^{n}$.


## Rationality problem for algebraic tori

Whether $T$ is $k$-rational?, i.e. $T \approx \mathbb{P}^{n}$ ? (birationally $k$-equivalent)
Let $R_{K / k}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ be the norm one torus of $K / k$, i.e. the kernel of the norm $\operatorname{map} N_{K / k}: R_{K / k}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{m}$ where $R_{K / k}$ is the Weil restriction:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 \longrightarrow R_{K / k}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \longrightarrow R_{K / k}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right) \xrightarrow{N_{K / k}} \mathbb{G}_{m} \longrightarrow 1 . \\
\operatorname{dim} & n & 1
\end{array}
$$

- $\exists 2$ algebraic $k$-tori $T$ with $\operatorname{dim}(T)=1$; the trivial torus $\mathbb{G}_{m}$ and $R_{K / k}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ with $[K: k]=2$, are $k$-rational.

Rationality problem for algebraic tori $T(2 / 3)$

- $\exists 13$ algebraic $k$-tori $T$ with $\operatorname{dim}(T)=2$.


## Theorem (Voskresenskii, 1967) 2-dim. algebraic tori $T$

$T$ is $k$-rational.

- $\exists 73$ algebraic $k$-tori $T$ with $\operatorname{dim}(T)=3$.


## Theorem (Kunyavskii, 1990) 3-dim. algebraic tori $T$

(i) $\exists 58$ algebraic $k$-tori $T$ which are $k$-rational; (ii) $\exists 15$ algebraic $k$-tori $T$ which are not $k$-rational.

- What happens in higher dimensions?


## $k$-tori $T$ and $G$-lattices

- T: algebraic $k$-torus
$\Longrightarrow \exists$ finite Galois extension $L / k$ such that $T \times_{k} L \simeq\left(\mathbb{G}_{m, L}\right)^{n}$.
- $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / k)$ where $L$ is the minimal splitting field.

Category of algebraic $k$-tori which split $/ L \stackrel{\text { duality }}{\longleftrightarrow}$ Category of $G$-lattices (i.e. finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module)

- $T \mapsto$ the character group $X(T)=\operatorname{Hom}\left(T, \mathbb{G}_{m}\right): G$-lattice.
- $T=\operatorname{Spec}\left(L[M]^{G}\right)$ which splits $/ L$ with $X(T) \simeq M \longleftrightarrow M: G$-lattice
- Tori of dimension $n \stackrel{1: 1}{\longleftrightarrow}$ elements of the set $H^{1}(\mathcal{G}, \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z}))$ where $\mathcal{G}=\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k} / k)$ since $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}^{n}\right)=\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$.
- $k$-torus $T$ of dimension $n$ is determined uniquely by the integral representation $h: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ up to conjugacy, and the group $h(\mathcal{G})$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$.
- The function field of $T \stackrel{\text { identified }}{\longleftrightarrow} L(M)^{G}$ : invariant field.


## Rationality problem for algebraic tori $T(3 / 3)$

- $L / k$ : Galois extension with $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / k)$.
- $M=\bigoplus_{1 \leq j \leq n} \mathbb{Z} \cdot u_{j}: G$-lattice with a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\left\{u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right\}$.
- $G$ acts on $L\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ by

$$
\sigma\left(x_{j}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{a_{i, j}}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n
$$

for any $\sigma \in G$, when $\sigma\left(u_{j}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i, j} u_{i}, a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

- $L(M):=L\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ with this action of $G$.
- The function field of algebraic $k$-torus $T \stackrel{\text { identified }}{\longleftrightarrow} L(M)^{G}$


## Rationality problem for algebraic tori $T$ (2nd form)

Whether $L(M)^{G}$ is $k$-rational?
(= purely transcendental over $k$ ?; $L(M)^{G}=k\left(\exists t_{1}, \ldots, \exists t_{n}\right)$ ?)

## Some definitions.

- $K / k$ : a finite generated field extension.


## Definition (stably rational)

$K$ is called stably $k$-rational if $K\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{m}\right)$ is $k$-rational.

## Definition (retract rational)

$K$ is retract $k$-rational if $\exists k$-algebra (domain) $R \subset K$ such that
(i) $K$ is the quotient field of $R$;
(ii) $\exists f \in k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \exists k$-algebra hom. $\varphi: R \rightarrow k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right][1 / f]$ and $\psi: k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right][1 / f] \rightarrow R$ satisfying $\psi \circ \varphi=1_{R}$.

## Definition (unirational)

$K$ is $k$-unirational if $K \subset k\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

- $k$-rational $\Rightarrow$ stably $k$-rational $\Rightarrow$ retract $k$-rational $\Rightarrow k$-unirational.
- $L(M)^{G}$ (resp. $T$ ) is always $k$-unirational.

Rationality problem for algebraic tori $T$ (2-dim., 3-dim.)

- The function field of $n$-dim. $T \stackrel{\text { identified }}{\longleftrightarrow} L(M)^{G}, G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$
- $\exists 13$ algebraic $k$-tori $T$ with $\operatorname{dim}(T)=2$.


## Theorem (Voskresenskii, 1967) 2-dim. algebraic tori $T$ (restated)

$T$ is $k$-rational.

- $\exists 73 \mathbb{Z}$-coujugacy subgroups $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$ ( $\exists 73$ 3-dim. algebraic $k$-tori $T$ ).


## Theorem (Kunyavskii, 1990) 3-dim. algebraic tori $T$ (precise form)

(i) $T$ is $k$-rational $\Longleftrightarrow T$ is stably $k$-rational
$\Longleftrightarrow T$ is retract $k$-rational $\Longleftrightarrow \exists G$ : 58 groups;
(ii) $T$ is not $k$-rational $\Longleftrightarrow T$ is not stably $k$-rational
$\Longleftrightarrow T$ is not retract $k$-rational $\Longleftrightarrow \exists G$ : 15 groups.

## Rationality problem for algebraic tori $T$ (4-dim.)

- The function field of $n$-dim. $T \stackrel{\text { identified }}{\longleftrightarrow} L(M)^{G}, G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$
- $\exists 710 \mathbb{Z}$-coujugacy subgroups $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ ( $\exists 710$ 4-dim. algebraic $k$-tori $T$ ).


## Theorem ([HY17]) 4-dim. algebraic tori $T$

(i) $T$ is stably $k$-rational $\Longleftrightarrow \exists G$ : 487 groups;
(ii) $T$ is not stably but retract $k$-rational $\Longleftrightarrow \exists G$ : 7 groups;
(iii) $T$ is not retract $k$-rational $\Longleftrightarrow \exists G: 216$ groups.

- We do not know " $k$-rationality".
- Voskresenskii's conjecture: any stably $k$-rational torus is $k$-rational (Zariski problem).
- what happens for dimension 5 ?


## Rationality problem for algebraic tori $T$ (5-dim.)

- The function field of $n$-dim. $T \stackrel{\text { identified }}{\longleftrightarrow} L(M)^{G}, G \leq \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$
- $\exists 6079 \mathbb{Z}$-coujugacy subgroups $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(5, \mathbb{Z})$ ( $\exists 6079$ 5-dim. algebraic $k$-tori $T$ ).


## Theorem ([HY17]) 5-dim. algebraic tori $T$

(i) $T$ is stably $k$-rational $\Longleftrightarrow \exists G$ : 3051 groups;
(ii) $T$ is not stably but retract $k$-rational $\Longleftrightarrow \exists G$ : 25 groups;
(iii) $T$ is not retract $k$-rational $\Longleftrightarrow \exists G: 3003$ groups.

- what happens for dimension 6 ?
- BUT we do not know the answer for dimension 6.
- $\exists 85308 \mathbb{Z}$-coujugacy subgroups $G \leq \mathrm{GL}(6, \mathbb{Z})$ ( $\exists 85308$ 6-dim. algebraic $k$-tori $T$ ).


## Flabby (Flasque) resolution

- $M: G$-lattice, i.e. f.g. $\mathbb{Z}$-free $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module.


## Definition

(i) $M$ is permutation $\stackrel{\text { def }}{\Longleftrightarrow} M \simeq \oplus_{1 \leq i \leq m} \mathbb{Z}\left[G / H_{i}\right]$.
(ii) $M$ is stably permutation $\stackrel{\text { def }}{\Longleftrightarrow} M \oplus \exists P \simeq P^{\prime}, P, P^{\prime}$ : permutation.
(iii) $M$ is invertible $\stackrel{\text { def }}{\Longleftrightarrow} M \oplus \exists M^{\prime} \simeq P$ : permutation.
(iv) $M$ is coflabby $\stackrel{\text { def }}{\Longleftrightarrow} H^{1}(H, M)=0(\forall H \leq G)$.
(v) $M$ is flabby $\stackrel{\text { def }}{\Longleftrightarrow} \widehat{H}^{-1}(H, M)=0(\forall H \leq G) .(\widehat{H}$ : Tate cohomology $)$

- "permutation"
$\Longrightarrow$ "stably permutation"
$\Longrightarrow$ "invertible"
$\Longrightarrow$ "flabby and coflabby".


## Commutative monoid $\mathcal{M}$

$M_{1} \sim M_{2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{\Longleftrightarrow} M_{1} \oplus P_{1} \simeq M_{2} \oplus P_{2}\left(\exists P_{1}, \exists P_{2}\right.$ : permutation $)$. $\Longrightarrow$ commutative monoid $\mathcal{M}:\left[M_{1}\right]+\left[M_{2}\right]:=\left[M_{1} \oplus M_{2}\right], 0=[P]$.

## Theorem (Endo-Miyata, 1974, Colliot-Thélène-Sansuc, 1977)

$\exists P$ : permutation, $\exists F$ : flabby such that

$$
0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow P \rightarrow F \rightarrow 0: \text { flabby resolution of } M
$$

- $[M]^{f l}:=[F]$; flabby class of $M$

Theorem (Endo-Miyata, 1973, Voskresenskii, 1974, Saltman, 1984)
(EM73) $[M]^{f l}=0 \Longleftrightarrow L(M)^{G}$ is stably $k$-rational.
$(\operatorname{Vos} 74)[M]^{f l}=\left[M^{\prime}\right]^{f l} \Longleftrightarrow L(M)^{G}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \simeq L\left(M^{\prime}\right)^{G}\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$; stably $k$-equivalent.
(Sal84) $[M]^{f l}$ is invertible $\Longleftrightarrow L(M)^{G}$ is retract $k$-rational.

$$
M=M_{G} \simeq \widehat{T}, k(T) \simeq L(M)^{G}
$$

## §2. Birational classification for algebraic tori

## (Stably) birational classification for algebraic tori

For given two algebraic $k$-tori $T$ and $T^{\prime}$,
whether $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are stably birationally $k$-equivalent?, i.e. $T \stackrel{\text { s.b. }}{\approx} T^{\prime}$ ?

## Theorem (Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc, 1977) $\operatorname{dim}(T)=\operatorname{dim}\left(T^{\prime}\right)=3$

Let $L / k$ and $L^{\prime} / k$ be Galois extensions with $\operatorname{Gal}(L / k) \simeq \operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / k\right) \simeq C_{2}^{2}$. Let $T=R_{L / k}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ and $T^{\prime}=R_{L^{\prime} / k}^{(1)}\left(\mathbb{G}_{m}\right)$ be the corresponding norm one tori. Then $T \stackrel{\text { s.b. }}{\approx} T^{\prime}$ (stably birationally $k$-equivalent) if and only if $L=L^{\prime}$.

- In particular, if $k$ is a number field, then there exist infinitely many stably birationally $k$-equivalent classes of (non-rational: 1 st $/ 15) k$-tori which correspond to $U_{1}$ (cf. Main theorem 1, later).
- $\bar{k}$ : a fixed separable closure of $k$ and $\mathcal{G}=\operatorname{Gal}(\bar{k} / k)$
- $X$ : a smooth $k$-compactification of $T$, i.e. smooth projective $k$-variety $X$ containing $T$ as a dense open subvariety
- $\bar{X}=X \times{ }_{k} \bar{k}$


## Theorem (Voskresenskii, 1969, 1970)

There exists an exact sequence of $\mathcal{G}$-lattices

$$
0 \rightarrow \widehat{T} \rightarrow \widehat{Q} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic} \bar{X} \rightarrow 0
$$

where $\widehat{Q}$ is permutation and $\operatorname{Pic} \bar{X}$ is flabby.

- $M_{G} \simeq \widehat{T},[\widehat{T}]^{f l}=[\operatorname{Pic} \bar{X}]$ as $\mathcal{G}$-lattices


## Theorem (Voskresenskii, 1970, 1973)

(i) $T$ is stably $k$-rational if and only if $[\operatorname{Pic} \bar{X}]=0$ as a $\mathcal{G}$-lattice.
(ii) $T \stackrel{\text { s.b. }}{\approx} T^{\prime}$ (stably birationally $k$-equivalent) if and only if
$[\operatorname{Pic} \bar{X}]=\left[\operatorname{Pic} \overline{X^{\prime}}\right]$ as $\mathcal{G}$-lattices.

- From $\mathcal{G}$-lattice to $G$-lattice

Let $L$ be the minimal splitting field of $T$ with $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / k) \simeq \mathcal{G} / \mathcal{H}$. We obtain a flabby resolution of $\widehat{T}$ :

$$
0 \rightarrow \widehat{T} \rightarrow \widehat{Q} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic} X_{L} \rightarrow 0
$$

with $[\widehat{T}]^{f l}=\left[\operatorname{Pic} X_{L}\right]$ as $G$-lattices.
By the inflation-restriction exact sequence $0 \rightarrow H^{1}\left(G, \operatorname{Pic} X_{L}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { inf }} H^{1}(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar{X}) \xrightarrow{\text { res }} H^{1}(L, \operatorname{Pic} \bar{X})$, we get inf : $H^{1}\left(G, \operatorname{Pic} X_{L}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{1}(k, \operatorname{Pic} \bar{X})$ because $H^{1}(L, \operatorname{Pic} \bar{X})=0$. We get:

## Theorem (Voskresenskii, 1970, 1973)

(ii) ${ }^{\prime} T \stackrel{\text { s.b. }}{\approx} T^{\prime}$ (stably birationally $k$-equivalent) if and only if
$\left[\operatorname{Pic} X_{\widetilde{L}}\right]=\left[\operatorname{Pic} X_{\widetilde{L}}^{\prime}\right]$ as $\widetilde{H}$-lattices where $\widetilde{L}=L L^{\prime}$ and $\widetilde{H}=\operatorname{Gal}(\widetilde{L} / k)$.
The group $\widetilde{H}$ becomes a subdirect product of $G=\operatorname{Gal}(L / k)$ and $G^{\prime}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(L^{\prime} / k\right)$, i.e. a subgroup $H$ of $G \times G^{\prime}$ with surjections $\varphi_{1}: \widetilde{H} \rightarrow G$ and $\varphi_{2}: \widetilde{H} \rightarrow G^{\prime}$.

- This observation yields a concept of "weak stably $k$-equivalence".


## Definition

(i) $[M]^{f l}$ and $\left[M^{\prime}\right]^{f l}$ are weak stably $k$-equivalent, if there exists a subdirect product $\widetilde{H} \leq G \times G^{\prime}$ of $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ with surjections $\varphi_{1}: \widetilde{H} \rightarrow G$ and $\varphi_{2}: \widetilde{H} \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ such that $[M]^{f l}=\left[M^{\prime}\right]^{f l}$ as $\widetilde{H}$-lattices where $\widetilde{H}$ acts on $M\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.M^{\prime}\right)$ through the surjection $\varphi_{1}$ (resp. $\varphi_{2}$ ).
(ii) Algebraic $k$-tori $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ are weak stably birationally $k$-equivalent, denoted by $T \stackrel{\text { s.b. }}{\sim} T^{\prime}$, if $[\widehat{T}]^{f l}$ and $\left[\widehat{T}^{\prime}\right]^{f l}$ are weak stably $k$-equivalent.

## Remark

(1) $T \stackrel{\text { s.b. }}{\approx} T^{\prime}$ (birational $k$-equiv.) $\Rightarrow T \stackrel{\text { s.b. }}{\sim} T^{\prime}$ (weak birational $k$-equiv.). (2) $\stackrel{\text { s.b. }}{\sim}$ becomes an equivalence relation and we call this equivalent class the weak stably $k$-equivalent class of $[\widehat{T}]^{f l}$ (or $T$ ) denoted by $\mathrm{WSEC}_{r}$ $(r \geq 0)$ with the stably $k$-rational class $\mathrm{WSEC}_{0}$.

## Main theorem 1 ([HY, Theorem 1.22]) $\operatorname{dim}(T)=3$ : up to s.b.

There exist exactly 14 weak stably birationally $k$-equivalent classes of algebraic $k$-tori $T$ of dimension 3 which consist of the stably rational class $\mathrm{WSEC}_{0}$ and 13 classes $\mathrm{WSEC}_{r}(1 \leq r \leq 13)$ for $[\widehat{T}]^{f l}$ with $\widehat{T}=M_{G}$ and $G=N_{3, i}(1 \leq i \leq 15)$ as in the following:

| $r$ | $G=N_{3, i}:[\widehat{T}]^{f l}=\left[M_{G}\right]^{f l} \in \mathrm{WSEC}_{r}$ | $G$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $N_{3,1}=U_{1}([\mathrm{CTS} \mathrm{1977])}$ | $C_{2}^{2}$ |
| 2 | $N_{3,2}=U_{2}$ | $C_{2}^{3}$ |
| 3 | $N_{3,3}=W_{2}$ | $C_{2}^{3}$ |
| 4 | $N_{3,4}=W_{1}$ | $C_{4} \times C_{2}$ |
| 5 | $N_{3,5}=U_{3}, N_{3,6}=U_{4}$ | $D_{4}$ |
| 6 | $N_{3,7}=U_{6}$ | $D_{4} \times C_{2}$ |
| 7 | $N_{3,8}=U_{5}$ | $A_{4}$ |
| 8 | $N_{3,9}=U_{7}$ | $A_{4} \times C_{2}$ |
| 9 | $N_{3,10}=W_{3}$ | $A_{4} \times C_{2}$ |
| 10 | $N_{3,11}=U_{9}, N_{3,13}=U_{10}$ | $S_{4}$ |
| 11 | $N_{3,12}=U_{8}$ | $S_{4}$ |
| 12 | $N_{3,14}=U_{12}$ | $S_{4} \times C_{2}$ |
| 13 | $N_{3,15}=U_{11}$ | $S_{4} \times C_{2}$ |

## Main theorem $2([\mathrm{HY}$, Theorem 1.23]) $\operatorname{dim}(T)=3$ : up to $\stackrel{\text { s.b. }}{\approx}$

Let $T_{i}$ and $T_{j}^{\prime}(1 \leq i, j \leq 15)$ be algebraic $k$-tori of dimension 3 with the minimal splitting fields $L_{i}$ and $L_{j}^{\prime}$, and $\widehat{T}_{i}=M_{G}$ and $\widehat{T}_{j}^{\prime}=M_{G^{\prime}}$ which satisfy that $G$ and $G^{\prime}$ are $\mathrm{GL}(3, \mathbb{Z})$-conjugate to $N_{3, i}$ and $N_{3, j}$ respectively. For $1 \leq i, j \leq 15$, the following conditions are equivalent:
(1) $T_{i} \stackrel{\text { s.b. }}{\approx} T_{j}^{\prime}$ (stably birationally $k$-equivalent);
(2) $L_{i}=L_{j}^{\prime}, T_{i} \times_{k} K$ and $T_{j}^{\prime} \times_{k} K$ are weak stably birationally $K$-equivalent for any $k \subset K \subset L_{i}$;
(3) $L_{i}=L_{j}^{\prime}, T_{i} \times_{k} K$ and $T_{j}^{\prime} \times_{k} K$ are weak stably birationally $K$-equivalent for any $k \subset K \subset L_{i}$ corresponding to $\operatorname{WSEC}_{r}(r \geq 1)$; (4) $L_{i}=L_{j}^{\prime}, T_{i} \times_{k} K$ and $T_{j}^{\prime} \times_{k} K$ are weak stably birationally $K$-equivalent for any $k \subset K \subset L_{i}$ corresponding to $\operatorname{WSEC}_{r}(r \geq 1)$ with $[K: k]=d$ where

$$
d= \begin{cases}1 & (i=1,3,4,8,9,10,11,12,13,14) \\ 1,2 & (i=2,5,6,7,15)\end{cases}
$$

